SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 12/31/10 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Chico State: CA

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$9,375,273 PJ's Size Grouping*: C PJ Since (FY): 1995

					Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):*
Category	PJ	State Average	State Rank	Nat'l Average	Group C	Overall
Program Progress:			PJs in State: 93			
% of Funds Committed	100.00 %	96.49 %	1	96.48 %	100	100
% of Funds Disbursed	92.69 %	88.28 %	22	89.00 %	63	64
Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities	14.71	5.83	1	4.81	100	100
% of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments***	100.00 %	77.63 %	1	83.25 %	100	100
% of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations***	43.24 %	70.30 %	72	70.99 %	11	10
_ow-Income Benefit:						
% of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters	67.65 %	81.96 %	81	81.75 %	17	14
% of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters***	41.18 %	41.30 %	48	45.84 %	40	39
_ease-Up:						
% of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units***	67.65 %	96.50 %	89	96.12 %	4	3
Overall Ranking:		In St	tate: 56 / 93	Nation	nally: 29	26
HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed	l Units:					
Rental Unit	\$53,978	\$36,975		\$27,889	34 Units	6.40
Homebuyer Unit	\$20,368	\$21,536		\$15,632	115 Units	21.80
Homeowner-Rehab Unit	\$30,789	\$27,502		\$21,037	37 Units	7.00
TBRA Unit	\$4,038	\$2,232		\$3,206	342 Units	64.80

^{* -} A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (216 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (284 PJs)

^{** -} E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

^{***-} This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

		Р	rogram ar	nd Beneficia	ry Cha	racteristic	s for Completed	d Units			
Participating Jurisdiction (P	J): Chico				CA						
Total Development Costs:		Rental		Homebuyer	Hon	neowner	CHDO Opera	ting Expenses	: PJ:	PJ:	
(average reported cost per unit in HOME-assisted projects)	PJ:		\$335,165	\$122,911		\$35,227	(% of allocation)		Nation	tional Avg: 1.2 %	
	State:*	9	\$141,290	\$116,319		\$28,818					
	National:**		\$99,461	\$78,568		\$23,907	R.S. Means C	Cost Index:	1.1		
RACE:		Rental I	Homebuyer %	Homeowner %	TBRA %	HOUSEH	OLD TYPE:	Rental F	lomebuyer %	Homeown %	er TBRA %

RACE:	Rental %	Homebuyer %	Homeowner %	TBRA %	HOUSEHOLD TYPE:	Rental %	Homebuyer %	Homeowner %	TBRA %
White:	87.0	41.7	86.5	79.4	Single/Non-Elderly:	21.7		16.2	17.6
Black/African American:	0.0	1.7	2.7	2.9	Elderly:	0.0		32.4	0.0
Asian:	0.0		0.0	2.9	Related/Single Parent:				
		0.0			· ·	56.5		18.9	67.6
American Indian/Alaska Native:	8.7	17.4	2.7	0.0	Related/Two Parent:	21.7		24.3	14.7
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander:	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0	Other:	0.0	1.7	8.1	0.0
American Indian/Alaska Native and White:	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9					
Asian and White:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Black/African American and White:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
American Indian/Alaska Native and Black:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Other Multi Racial:	4.3	0.9	0.0	2.9					
Asian/Pacific Islander:	0.0	0.9	2.7	0.0					
ETHNICITY:									
Hispanic	0.0	37.4	2.7	8.8					
HOUSEHOLD SIZE:					SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL A	ASSISTAN	CE:		
1 Person:	21.7	15.7	45.9	11.8	Section 8:	0.0	0.0		
2 Persons:	8.7	24.3	18.9	47.1	HOME TBRA:	0.0			
3 Persons:	26.1	18.3	13.5	23.5	Other:	43.5			
4 Persons:	34.8	21.7	13.5	14.7	No Assistance:	56.5			
5 Persons:	8.7	10.4	5.4	2.9					
6 Persons:	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0					
7 Persons:	0.0	4.3	2.7	0.0					
8 or more Persons:	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	# of Section 504 Compliant	Units / Co	mpleted Un	its Since 200	1 20

^{*} The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state



^{**} The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

[#] Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.

— HOME PROGRAM — SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS

Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Chico State: CA Group Rank: 29 (Percentile)

State Rank: 56 93 PJs
Overall Rank: 26 (Percentile)

Summary: 3 Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

FACTOR	DESCRIPTION	THRESHOLD*	PJ RESULTS	RED FLAG
4	% OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS	< 77.87%	100	
5	% OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS	< 53.90%	43.24	
6	% OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOMF	< 70%**	67.65	
8	% OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS	< 92.09%	67.65	
"ALLOCATION-\	YEARS" NOT DISBURSED***	> 2.415	0.84	

^{*} This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs



^{**} This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

^{***} Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.